## Complexity Theory

Homework Sheet 6 Hand in before the lecture of Tuesday 21 Mar. Preferably by email to bannink@cwi.nl

## 14 March 2017

**Definition 1.** Define **RP** to be the class of languages A for which there is a polytime machine M, and some constant c, such that for all  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ ,

$$x \in A \implies \Pr_r[M(x, r) = 1] \ge 2/3,$$
  
$$x \notin A \implies \Pr_r[M(x, r) = 0] = 1,$$

where r is drawn from the uniform distribution over  $\{0,1\}^{n^c}$ .

**Definition 2.** Define the complexity class **ZPP** to be the class of languages A for which there is a polytime machine M which ouputs either 0, 1 or ?, and some constant c, such that for all  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ ,

$$\Pr_{r}[M(x,r) = ?] \le 1/2,$$
  
$$M(x,r) = 1 \implies x \in A,$$
  
$$M(x,r) = 0 \implies x \notin A,$$

where r is drawn from the uniform distribution over  $\{0, 1\}^{n^c}$ .

Exercise 1. Prove the following statements.

- (a)  $\mathbf{ZPP} = \mathbf{RP} \cap \mathbf{coRP}$
- (b)  $\mathbf{RP} \subseteq \mathbf{NP}$ ,
- (c)  $\mathbf{RP}^{\mathbf{RP}} \subseteq \mathbf{BPP}$ .

Exercise 2.

- (a) Define **BPP**/poly.
- (b) Show that  $\mathbf{BPP}/poly = \mathbf{P}/poly$ .

**Exercise 3.** Show that in interactive proof systems we gain nothing by allowing the prover to make use of randomness. That is, prove that if we have a probabilistic prover P that convinces a verifier V to accept with probability p, where the probability is taken over the random coins of both P and V, then we have a deterministic prover P' that convinces V to accept with probability  $\geq p$ , where the probability is now taken only over the random bits of V.